



COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

FULWIDER PATTON LLP HOWARD HUGHES CENTER 6060 CENTER DRIVE, TENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90045 COPY MAILED

JAN **3 0** 2007

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of

Michael B. Marks, et al.

Application No. 09/838,330

Filed: April 20, 2001

Attorney Docket No. MET88-68155

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the renewed petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed July 17, 2006, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

This application became abandoned for failure to timely reply to the final Office action mailed June 29, 2004. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on November 4, 2005.

The petition satisfies the requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b) in that petitioner has supplied (1) the reply in the form of a Request for Continued Examination under 37 CFR 1.114; (2) the petition fee of \$750; and (3) an adequate statement of unintentional delay¹.

The application is revived for consideration of a submission under 37 CFR 1.114 (request for continued examination).

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3204.

The application file is being forwarded to Technology Center AU 2614, for further processing of the request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 filed July 17, 2006.

Sherry D. Brinkley Petitions Examiner

Office of Petitions

¹ 37 CFR 1.137(b)(3) requires a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. Although the statement contained in the petition varies from the language required by 37 CFR 1.137(b)(3), the statement will be construed as the statement required by 37 CFR 1.137(b)(3). Petitioner must notify the Office if this is **not** a correct interpretation of the statement contained in the instant petition.